CLAUSAL NEGATION IN XWERE GONOM

KERAS SARYAN keras.saryan@gmail.com



10th Language Creation Conference

22-23 April 2023

THIS TALK

- ► A basic description of clausal negation in Xwere Gonom
- ► In a nutshell, this is encoded by a pre-verbal particle
- ▶ However, various negators are used depending on grammatical and contextual factors
- ▶ Clausal negation also interacts with certain other aspects of the grammar

THE LANGUAGE

- ➤ Xwere Gonom is an autonym meaning 'voice of the sand'
- ▶ Spoken by a riverine people, the Lineed Gonom or 'people of the sand'
- ► Head-initial: basic VSO order, prepositions, postposed adnominal modifiers
- ► Three cases with animacy-based differential subject and object marking
- ▶ Verbs agree with the absolutive argument in person and number
- ► Aspect and mood conflated into a single category; no morphological tense* or voice

 *At least on matrix verbs, dependent verbs could be argued to show pure/strict relative tense
- Morphological number distinction only for animates
- First-person clusivity distinction; second-person consanguinity distinction



STANDARD NEGATION

- ▶ "Standard negation" is the default way of negating declarative main clauses containing a verbal predicate (Payne 1985; Miestamo 2000, 2005, 2017)
- ▶ In Xwere Gonom, clausal negation is expressed with a pre-verbal particle
- ► In declarative clauses, the default negator is *xen*
- (I)a. Abola łiin šom. 3INAN.drink.IMPF man milk 'The man drinks milk.'
 - b. Xen abola łiin šom. NEG 3INAN.drink.IMPF man milk 'The man doesn't drink milk.'

STANDARD NEGATION

- ▶ Although *xen* can also occasionally be found elsewhere in the clause
- (2) a. Abola xen liin šom.

 3INAN.drink.IMPF NEG man milk

 'It is not the man who drinks milk.'
 - b. Abola fiin **xen** šom.

 3INAN.drink.IMPF man **NEG** milk

 'It is not milk that the man drinks.'

STANDARD NEGATION

- Nonetheless, even when not pre-verbal, *xen* frequently still occurs clause-initially as this is a focus position
- (2') a. **Xen** liin abola šom. **NEG** man 3INAN.drink.IMPF milk

 'It is not the man who drinks milk.'
 - b. Xen šom abola fiin.NEG milk 3INAN.drink.IMPF man'It is not milk that the man drinks.'

- ► However, *xen* is only found in realis clauses
- ▶ In irrealis clauses, the negator *dzib* is used instead
- ► Xwere Gonom has two morphological irrealis categories
 - Conditional: marks the antecedent, but not the consequent, in sentences expressing that some event or state is contingent on something else
 - Optative: used in expressing hopes, wishes, desires and so on; also functions as an imperative and (co)hortative

- ▶ In conditional sentences, the conditional verb in the antecedent is negated with *dzib*
- (3) Ti' ło' dzih adiigiza goğo, gooxo'šian hau. if NEG 3INAN.come.cond rain 2sG.cs.be_dry.consec 2sG.cs 'If it doesn't rain, you'll be dry.'

▶ Verbs in the optative are likewise negated with *dzib*

(4) **Dzih** yiḍahnišin tšemen yan-ga.

NEG 1INCL.CS.See.OPT.DU cat 1DU.INCL.CS-DAM

'Hopefully the cat won't see me and you.'

- ► However, *dzih* is used not only with morphologically irrealis verbs but also with semantically irrealis verbs
- ► For example, though the consequent in conditional sentences does not take conditional marking, it is negated with *dzib* rather than *xen*
- (5) Ti' ło' aḍiigiza goğo, dzih gooxo'šian hau. if 3INAN.come.cond rain NEG 2SG.CS.be_dry.consec 2SG.CS 'If it rains, you won't be dry.'

▶ In the affirmative, there are eleven aspects/moods

- (6) a. Realis:
 - i. Imperfective: imperfective, progressive, continuative, simultaneous
 - ii. Perfective: perfective, terminative, immediative, anterior, consecutive
 - b. Irrealis: conditional, optative

- ▶ In the affirmative, there are eleven aspects/moods
- ▶ However, in the negative, this is reduced to eight
- (6) a. Realis:
 - i. Imperfective: imperfective, progressive, continuative, simultaneous
 - ii. Perfective: perfective, terminative, immediative, anterior, consecutive
 - b. Irrealis: conditional, optative

- ► There is no distinction between the progressive and continuative, with only the negative progressive being possible
- (7) a. Eretsepe ğein tsiigi.

 3INAN.look_for.PROG boy pearl

 'The boy is looking for pearls.'
 - b. Xen eretsepe ğein tsiigi.
 NEG 3INAN.look_for.PROG boy pearl
 'The boy isn't looking for pearls.'

- ► There is no distinction between the progressive and continuative, with only the negative progressive being possible
- (8) a. Eretsepeen ğein tsiigi.

 3INAN.look_for.cont boy pearl

 'The boy is still looking for pearls.'
 - b. *Xen eretsepeen ğein tsiigi.
 NEG 3INAN.look_for.cont boy pearl
 Intended: 'The boy isn't still looking for pearls.'

- ► Similarly, the distinctions between the perfective, immediative and terminative are collapsed into perfective in the negative
- (9) a. Hiredžii deme tshadl-a. 3NH.AN.hunt.PF 1SG fish-DAM 'I caught a fish.'
 - b. Xen hiredžii deme tshadl-a.
 NEG 3NH.AN.hunt.PF 1SG fish-DAM
 'I didn't catch a fish.'

- ► Similarly, the distinctions between the perfective, immediative and terminative are collapsed into perfective in the negative
- (10) a. Hire'džin deme tshadl-a. 3NH.AN.hunt.IMM 1SG fish-DAM 'T've just caught a fish.'
 - b. *Xen hire'džin deme tshadl-a.

 NEG 3NH.AN.hunt.IMM 1SG fish-DAM

 Intended: 'I haven't just caught a fish.'

- ► Similarly, the distinctions between the perfective, immediative and terminative are collapsed into perfective in the negative
- (11) a. Hire'džitlid deme tshadl-ar-a.
 3NH.AN.hunt.TERM.PL 1SG fish-PL-DAM
 'I stopped hunting for fish.'
 - b. *Xen hire'džitlid deme tshadl-ar-a.
 NEG 3NH.AN.hunt.TERM.PL 1sG fish-PL-DAM
 Intended: 'I didn't stop hunting for fish.'

NEGATIVE CONCORD AND FRONTING

- Clausal negation does not require an accompanying negative indefinite
- ► Though the use of a negative indefinite does require clausal negation
- (12) a. Xen aṭhana deme thawa (xeṛii).

 NEG 3INAN.see.IMPF 1sG basket (not_one)

 'I can't see a (single) basket.'

 b. Xen aṭhana deme xežod.

 NEG 3INAN.see.IMPF 1sG nothing

'I can't see anything.'

15/32

NEGATIVE CONCORD AND FRONTING

▶ However, clausal negation is absent when any negative word or phrase is fronted

- (12') a. Thawa **xeṛii** aṭhana deme. basket **not_one** 3INAN.see.IMPF 1SG 'I can't see a single basket at all.'
 - b. Xežod aṭhana deme.nothing 3INAN.see.IMPF 1SG'I can't see anything at all.'



- ▶ Te' nondum negator: something has yet to occur prior to the reference time
- (13) Te' iziğii išiid.

 not_yet 3INAN.eat.PF 1PL.EXCL

 'We haven't eaten yet.'

- ▶ *Dliği* "non iam" negator: something that was the case prior to the reference time no longer holds
- (14) Dliği sarazoma gaṭha haum.
 no_longer 3H.sleep.PROG brother 2SG.CS.OBL
 'Your brother isn't asleep any more.'

- ► *Maan* assertive future-time negator: forcefully denies the possibility of something happening after the reference time
- (15) Maan dodogii deme.

 NEG 1sg.fall.pf 1sg

 'I will not fall!'

► *Tšorom* — avertive negator: an event was expected to happen but did not

(16) **Tšoṛom** iḍiigii goǧo.

NEG 3INAN.fall.PF rain

'It didn't rain (even though it was meant to).'

- ▶ Žein frustrative negator: an event happened but was not successful or did not have the expected result
- (17) **Žein** ikaalii šedle hogoo.

 NEG 3INAN.hide.PF squirrel nut

 'The squirrel didn't hide the nuts (even though it tried to).'



NEGATION IN IMPERATIVES

- ▶ Where negation in declarative clauses uses one of *xen*, *dzih* and so on, imperatives are negated with the prohibitive particle *ke*′
- ▶ There are two verbal categories used to express the imperative
 - Optative: used variously for general, habitual and non-immediate commands; a general polite imperative form
 - Perfective: used for single-action, immediate and urgent commands; used for other perfunctory requests with familiar people of the same or lower status

NEGATION IN IMPERATIVES

- ► Negating the optative:
- (18) a. **Dzih** opohłiš sii.

 NEG 3INAN.drink.OPT 3SG.H

 'Hopefully (s)he won't drink.'
 - b. Ke' opohłiś! NEG 3INAN.drink.OPT 'Don't drink!'

- ► Negating the perfective:
- (19) a. Xen soḍogii sii.

 NEG 3H.fall.PF 3SG.H

 '(S)he didn't fall.'
 - b. Ke' modogii! NEG 2sg.Ncs.fall.PF 'Don't fall!'

NEGATION IN IMPERATIVES

► However, with a perfective imperative, it is possible to use *maan* in place of *ke*' for added force:

(20) a. **Ke'** obolii!

NEG 3INAN.drink.PF

'Don't drink!'

b. Maan obolii!

NEG 3INAN.drink.pf

'Don't you dare drink!'/'You'd better not drink!'

NEGATION IN INTERROGATIVES

- Finally, questions typically use the irrealis negator *dzib*
- (21) Gaa dzih itełii kii loža?
 Q NEG 3INAN.throw.PF 2SG.NCS net
 'Didn't you use a net?'
- (22) Toš-oga dzih siṭhanii kii? who-DAM NEG 3H.see.PF 2SG.NCS 'Who didn't you see?'

SUMMARY

SUMMARY

- ▶ I've shown you the basics of clausal negation in Xwere Gonom
- ► This uses a pre-verbal particle, *xen* by default
- ▶ Which is replaced by *dzib* in irrealis and interrogative contexts
- Additional specialised negators are available, e.g. prohibitive ke' or dliği 'no longer'
- ► Clausal negation interacts with other areas of grammar such as aspect and the presence/position of a negative indefinite

Amağoorah na bed dzo'om!

'[I] owe you for [your] eyes [and] ears!'

'Thanks for your attention!'

CONTACT

- keras.saryan@gmail.com
- https://keras-saryan.github.io/

ABBREVIATIONS

1	first person	INAN	inanimate
2	second person	INCL	inclusive
3	third person	NCS	non-consanguineous
AN	animate	NEG	negative
COND	conditional	NH	non-human
CONSEC	consecutive	OBL	oblique
CONT	continuative	OPT	optative
CS	consanguineous	PF	perfective
DAM	differential argument marking	PL	plural
DU	dual	PROG	progressive
EXCL	exclusive	Q	question particle
Н	human	SG	singular
IMM	immediative	TERM	terminative
IMPF	imperfective		

REFERENCES

- Miestamo, Matti. 2000. Towards a typology of standard negation. *Nordic Journal of Linguistics* 23(1). 65–88.
- Miestamo, Matti. 2005. Standard negation: The negation of declarative verbal main clauses in a typological perspective (Empirical Approaches to Language Typology 31). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Miestamo, Matti. 2017. Negation. In Alexandra Y. Aikhenvald & R. M. W. Dixon (eds.), *Cambridge Handbook of Linguistic Typology* (Cambridge Handbooks in Language and Linguistics), 405–39. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Payne, John R. 1985. Negation. In Timothy Shopen (ed.), *Language typology and syntactic description, volume I, Clause structure*, 197–242. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.